SOP 1.2.1 Histories and Journalism

General Description:

Many projects involving histories or journalism methods are not likely to meet the definition of “research” stated in the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Regulations for the Protection of Human Subjects at 45 CFR Part 46, Subpart A: “a systematic investigation, including research development, testing and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge.” Projects that do not meet this definition do not need to be submitted to the IRB for review.

Historians and journalists typically use collected information to explain past or current events but not to create theories, principles, or statements of relationships that are predictive of future events or that can be widely applied. Such activities would not be considered “generalizable knowledge.”

However, when projects at Mason are, in fact, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge, such projects must be submitted to ORIA. Upon submission, such projects may be granted exemption from IRB review, handled through the expedited review process, or reviewed by the full IRB, as appropriate.

Oral history projects conducted by, or under the supervision of, Mason faculty, staff or students should be conducted in accordance with the guidelines established by the Oral History Association Principles and Best Practices. Journalism projects conducted by, or under the supervision of, Mason faculty, staff or students should be conducted in accordance with the Society of Professional Journalists Code of Ethics. A flow chart and examples, intended to be helpful in this evaluation, are provided at the end of this SOP.

Procedures:

1. Determination of whether the project is Human Subjects Research. When formulating the project, the investigators should consider whether the project meets the federal definition of research with human subjects. The IRB offers a Human Subjects Determination form (available in IRBNet) to help researchers make this determination.
   a. If yes, a qualified PI must submit a full IRB application for IRB review and approval through IRBNet.
   b. If no, then an IRB application is not required.

2. Optional: Formal documentation
   a. If funders, publications or other entities require formal documentation, the PI may submit a Human Subjects Research Determination form to the ORIA through IRBNet to request a formal letter of acknowledgement as verification that the project does not require prospective IRB review and approval.
   b. The PI must provide the ORIA with the Human Subjects Determination form that includes a detailed summary of the project.
   c. Provided that the ORIA agrees with the determination that the project does not meet the definition of human subjects research and does not require IRB review, the ORIA will issue the formal documentation to the PI through IRBNet within 10 business days of receipt of request and summary.
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**Decision Flowchart**

- Project uses methods designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge
  - Yes
  - No
  - Project involves a living individual about whom an investigator obtains (1) Data through intervention or interaction with the individual, or (2) Identifiable private information.
    - Yes
      - Apply to ORIA/IRB
    - No
      - No review by ORIA/IRB.

**Examples:**

A. **Oral History Activities Not Considered "Human Subjects Research"**

Oral history activities, such as interviews that serve only to document an individual's life history or general reflections on past events are not considered “human subjects research.”

*Example: Veterans Oral History Project*

A student is planning a dissertation on the long term social impact of the Vietnam War on American culture. The student wants to conduct life histories of a group of veterans for the sake of documenting the broad meaning of the war in the rest of their lives. The interviews will be contributed to the Veterans Oral History Project at the Library of Congress which offers professional training to oral historians, the costs of which were underwritten by Congress. To ensure that oral histories are conducted in a professional manner the student will follow the protocols and guidance developed for this project by the Library of Congress, as well as the guidelines of the national Oral History Association (OHA).
Rationale:
The above project does not require IRB approval because based on the information provided in the example the information collected from the interviews is not a systematic investigation (it is not intended to address a hypothesis). Furthermore, it is neither intended nor likely to contribute to generalizable knowledge. Other details, such as the external financial support for the oral history activity and following the sponsor's guidelines are irrelevant in determining whether IRB approval is required by the Mason IRB. Of course, the conduct of oral histories by Mason faculty, staff, or students should follow the OHA guidelines.

B. Oral History Activities Considered "Human Subjects Research"
Systematic investigations involving open-ended interviews that are designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge (e.g., designed to draw conclusions in an effort to address a hypothesis or serve to collect pilot data for a future "research" study) WOULD constitute "research" as defined by HHS regulations at 45 CFR Part 46, and therefore does need to be submitted for IRB review.

Example: Long-term Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder in Vietnam War Veterans
A faculty member is planning to conduct oral histories to gain an understanding of the impacts of the Vietnam War on post-traumatic stress disorder. The faculty member wants to work with a veterans Post-Traumatic-Stress-Disorder [PTSD] support group to take life histories to see how the war influenced the rest of the veterans' lives. The group agrees in writing to allow the faculty member to meet with the members as a part of the group, and individually. One goal of the research, in addition to understanding general ways in which the war affected the subsequent lives of soldiers, is to make assessments that will allow the faculty member to predict what kinds of exposure in war situations leads to the development of PTSD. In order to prepare for this analysis, the faculty member will consult published research done on PTSD with reference to Vietnam veterans, and will use PTSD related materials specific to the individuals in the group. While the veterans want to contribute their memories to the national Veterans oral history project run by the Library of Congress, they want to keep specific information which would link PTSD material to their life histories private. The faculty member and/or the psychiatrist who runs the group plans to use the data collected through these life histories to prepare a scientific presentation.

Rationale:
The above project does require IRB review and approval because the project involves a systematic investigation and interaction with living individuals with the goal of contributing to generalizable knowledge.